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Accuracy and precision (Higham, 2002)

Accuracy

*refers to the absolute or relative error of an approximate quantity.*

Precision

*is the accuracy with the basic operations +, −, *, / are performed.*
Usual implicit assumptions (possible interpretation)

1. All operations \textit{performed} with the same precision

2. No dedicated term for the accuracy with which the numbers are \textit{stored} \ldots as if they were necessarily stored with the same accuracy as the precision: \textit{precision} interpreted as \textit{both} the accuracy with which the basic operations are \textit{performed} and the numbers are \textit{stored}: (Von Neumann, 1945) (Section 12.2) the fact that a number requires 32 memory units makes it advisable to subdivide the entire memory in this way \textit{as} it simplifies the organization of the entire memory
Usual implicit assumptions (possible interpretation)

1. All operations performed with the same precision, unless stated otherwise such as in a mixed precision context: (Wilkinson, 1963) (Section 1.2) if it is necessary to work to higher precision, [...] we may employ numbers [...] we shall refer to [...] as multiple-precision.

2. No dedicated term for the accuracy with which the numbers are stored ... as if they were necessarily stored with the same accuracy as the precision: precision interpreted as both the accuracy with which the basic operations are performed and the numbers are stored: (Von Neumann, 1945) (Section 12.2) the fact that a number requires 32 memory units makes it advisable to subdivide the entire memory in this way [as] it simplifies the organization of the entire memory.
Usual implicit assumptions (possible interpretation)

1. All operations performed with the same precision, unless stated otherwise such as in a *mixed precision* context: (Wilkinson, 1963) (Section 1.2)

   *if it is necessary to work to higher precision, [...] we may employ numbers [...] we shall refer to [...] as multiple-precision*

   Not addressed in this talk.

2. No dedicated term for the accuracy with which the numbers are stored ... as if they were necessarily stored with the same accuracy as the precision: *precision* interpreted as *both* the accuracy with which the basic operations are performed and the numbers are stored: (Von Neumann, 1945) (Section 12.2)

   *the fact that a number requires 32 memory units makes it advisable to subdivide the entire memory in this way [as] it simplifies the organization of the entire memory*
Usual implicit assumptions (possible interpretation)

1. All operations performed with the same precision, unless stated otherwise such as in a mixed precision context: (Wilkinson, 1963) (Section 1.2) if it is necessary to work to higher precision, [...] we may employ numbers [...] we shall refer to [...] as multiple-precision

   Not addressed in this talk.

2. No dedicated term for the accuracy with which the numbers are stored ... as if they were necessarily stored with the same accuracy as the precision: precision interpreted as both the accuracy with which the basic operations are performed and the numbers are stored: (Von Neumann, 1945) (Section 12.2) the fact that a number requires 32 memory units makes it advisable to subdivide the entire memory in this way [as] it simplifies the organization of the entire memory

   How about a variable accuracy storage independent from the hardware (words in (Wilkinson, 1963) (Section 1.2)) constraints?
Nothing very new

(Le Verrier, 1840) (contribution to the upcoming Neptune’s discovery in 1846)

- Prediction of the existence of a novel planet as well as its location, before its telescopic observation.
- Concerns with numerical computing and significant digits:

> the coefficients of the equation do not need [...] to be computed with the same approximation [and] one can know which degree of exactness it is necessary to give [to each of them]
Motivation

This talk

Solution of large sparse linear systems

We consider the solution of linear systems $Ax = b$, with $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ a large and sparse matrix.

Application to the compression of the $Z$ basis in FGMRES (Saad, 1993)

Arnoldi-like equality at step $k$:

$$AZ_k = V_{k+1} \tilde{H}_k,$$

with $V_{k+1}^T V_{k+1}$.

Variable accuracy storage through lossy compression techniques

(Calhoun et al., 2019; Di & Cappello, 2017; Lindstrom, 2014; Lindstrom & Isenburg, 2006; Tao et al., 2017)
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Generalized minimal residual algorithm (GMRES) (1/2) (Paige et al., 2006; Saad & Schultz, 1986; Saad, 2003)

- Krylov subspace methods remain among the most widely used methods to solve this kind of system and GMRES with a right preconditioner $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ is often the go to method:

$$AM^{-1}u = b \quad \text{and} \quad x = M^{-1}u.$$  \hfill (1)

- Starting from an initial estimate $x_0$ for $x^*$, GMRES constructs a series of approximations $x_k$ in Krylov subspaces of increasing size and with decreasing residual norm. More specifically:

$$x_k = \arg \min_{x \in x_0 + \mathcal{K}_k(A,r_0)} \|b - Ax\|,$$

with $r_0 = b - Ax_0$ and

$$\mathcal{K}_k(A, r_0) = \text{span}\{r_0, Ar_0, \ldots, A^{k-1}r_0\}$$

the k-dimensional Krylov subspace spanned by $A$ and $r_0$. 
In practice, a matrix $V_k = [v_1, \ldots, v_k] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$ with orthonormal columns and an upper Hessenberg matrix $\tilde{H}_k \in \mathbb{R}^{(k+1) \times k}$ are iteratively constructed using the Arnoldi procedure such that $\text{span } V_k = \mathcal{K}_k(A, r_0)$ and

$$AV_k = V_{k+1} \tilde{H}_k, \quad \text{with} \quad V_{k+1}^T V_{k+1}. \quad (2)$$

This is often referred to as the Arnoldi relation. Consequently, $x_k = x_0 + V_k y_k$ with

$$y_k = \arg \min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^k} \| \beta e_1 - \tilde{H}_k y \|,$$

where $\beta = \| r_0 \|$ and $e_1 = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)^T \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$.
GMRES with right preconditioning algorithm

1: **input:** $A$, $b$, $x_0$, maxit, $\varepsilon$, $M$.
2: $r_0 = b - Ax_0$, $\beta = \|r_0\|$ and $v_1 = r_0 / \beta$
3: **for** $k = 1, \ldots, \text{maxit}$ **do**

4: $z = M^{-1}v_k$

5: $w = Az$

6: **for** $i = 1, \ldots, k$ **do**

7: $\bar{H}_{i,k} = v_i^T w$

8: $w = w - \bar{H}_{i,k}v_i$

9: $\bar{H}_{k+1,k} = \|w\|$

10: $v_{k+1} = w / \bar{H}_{k+1,k}$

11: $y_k = \arg\min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^k} \|\beta e_1 - \bar{H}_k y\|$

12: $\tilde{r}_k = \beta e_1 - \bar{H}_k y_k$

13: **if** $\|\tilde{r}_k\| < \|b\| \varepsilon$ **or** $k = \text{maxit}$ **then**

14: $x_k = x_0 + M^{-1} V_k y_k$

15: $r_k = b - Ax_k$

16: **if** $\|r_k\| < \|b\| \varepsilon$ **then**

17: **break**

18: **output:** $x_k$
Flexible GMRES (FGMRES) algorithm

1. **input:** $A$, $b$, $x_0$, maxit, $\varepsilon$, $M$.
2. $r_0 = b - Ax_0$, $\beta = \|r_0\|$ and $v_1 = r_0 / \beta$
3. **for** $k = 1, \ldots, \text{maxit}$ **do**
   4. $z_k = M_k^{-1} v_k$
   5. $w = Az_k$
   6. **for** $i = 1, \ldots, k$ **do**
      7. $H_{i,k} = v_i^T w$
      8. $w = w - H_{i,k} v_i$
   9. $H_{k+1,k} = \|w\|$
   10. $v_{k+1} = w / H_{k+1,k}$
   11. $y_k = \arg\min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^k} \|\beta e_1 - \bar{H}_k y\|$
   12. $\tilde{r}_k = \beta e_1 - \bar{H}_k y_k$
   13. **if** $\|\tilde{r}_k\| < \|b\| \varepsilon$ **or** $k = \text{maxit}$ **then**
       14. $x_k = x_0 + Z_k y_k$
       15. $r_k = b - Ax_k$
       16. **if** $\|r_k\| < \|b\| \varepsilon$ **then**
           17. **break**
18. **output:** $x_k$
FGMRES (Saad, 1993): remarks

- Main advantage: Increased flexibility for the preconditioner, as now, for example, an iterative method could be used as a preconditioner (Gazzola & Landman, 2019; Giraud et al., 2010; Saad, 1993).
- Main weakness: In contrast to GMRES, $Z_k$ now needs to be stored, because otherwise calculating $x_k$ would require solving all the preconditioning systems an additional time.
Inexact (matrix-vector product) GMRES (1/2)

- Instead of calculating $Av$, it is actually calculated $(A + E)v$, for some perturbation matrix $E \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. This idea leads to what is referred to as inexact Krylov subspace methods (Bouras & Frayssé, 2005; Giraud et al., 2007; Simoncini & Szyld, 2003; Van Den Eshof & Sleijpen, 2004).

- Again, the Arnoldi relation (2) no longer holds, but it can be shown that the following Arnoldi-like relation holds:

$$AV_k + [E_1v_1, \ldots, E_kv_k] = V_{k+1}\tilde{H}_k. \quad (3)$$

- It turns out that the computed residual in each iteration is given by $\tilde{r}_k = b - \tilde{A}_kx_k$, where $\tilde{A}_k$ is a perturbed version of $A$ and that

$$\tilde{A}_k V_k = V_{k+1}\tilde{H}_k, \quad \text{with} \quad V_{k+1}^TV_{k+1}.$$
Inexact (matrix-vector product) GMRES (2/2)

- This means that the iterations $x_k$ are in fact members of different Krylov subspaces, each spanned by a different matrix.

- Furthermore, if the size of the perturbations $\|E_k\|$ is bounded in each iteration, it is shown in (Giraud et al., 2007) that the residual gap remains small and that true residual will satisfy the stopping criterion:

**Theorem 2.1**

Choose $0 < \varepsilon$ and $0 < c < 1$. Define $\varepsilon_c = c\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon_g = (1 - c)\varepsilon$, and assume that in every inexact GMRES iteration $k$

$$\|E_k\| \leq \frac{c}{n}\sigma_{\min}(A) \min\left(1, \frac{\|b\|}{\|\tilde{r}_{k-1}\|\varepsilon_g}\right).$$

Then there exists an $0 < \ell \leq n$ such that $\|\tilde{r}_\ell\| \leq \|b\|\varepsilon_c$ and $\|r_\ell\| \leq \|b\|\varepsilon$. 
Inexact right-preconditioning GMRES

- In (Giraud et al., 2007) it was shown that:

**Theorem 2.2**

Choose $0 < \varepsilon$ and $0 < c < 1$. Define $\varepsilon_c = c\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon_g = (1 - c)\varepsilon$, and assume that in every GMRES iteration $k$ the right preconditioning system $z = M^{-1}v_k$ is solved with residual $p_k$. If for all $k$

$$\|p_k\| \leq \frac{c}{n K (AM^{-1})} \min \left( 1, \frac{\|b\|}{\|\tilde{r}_{k-1}\|} \varepsilon_g \right),$$

(5)

then there exists an $0 < \ell \leq n$ such that $\|\tilde{r}_\ell\| \leq \|b\| \varepsilon_c$ and $\|b - AM^{-1}u_\ell\| \leq \|b\| \varepsilon$.

**Proof.**

See Giraud et al., 2007 (Theorem 5) for the full proof.
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Theorem 3.1

Choose $0 < \varepsilon$ and $0 < c < 1$. Define $\varepsilon_c = c\varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon_g = (1 - c)\varepsilon$, and assume that in every FGMRES iteration $k$ the right preconditioning system $z_k = M^{-1}v_k$ is solved with residual $p_k$. If for all $k$

$$
\|p_k\| \leq \frac{c}{nK(AM^{-1})} \min \left(1, \frac{\|b\|}{\|\tilde{r}_{k-1}\| \varepsilon_g} \right), \quad (6)
$$

then there exists an $0 < \ell \leq n$ such that $\|\tilde{r}_\ell\| \|b\| \varepsilon_c$ and $\|r_\ell\| \leq \varepsilon \|b\|$. 
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Core ideas

- The vectors $z_k$ in FGMRES are the solutions of the preconditioning systems and there are results on preconditioners with lower accuracy (Anzt et al., 2019; Arioli & Duff, 2009; Carson & Higham, 2018; Higham et al., 2019).

- Furthermore, since the $z_k$ can in theory be random – as long as $Z_k$ is of full rank – FGMRES is likely less sensitive to small changes in these vectors.

- In contrast to the mixed precision approaches, however, we will perform all computations in double precision (64 bit), but store the $z_k$ in compressed form after their calculation.

- We note $\tilde{z}_k$ are the vectors containing the decompressed values corresponding to the original $z_k$. 
cFGMRES algorithm I

1: **input:** \( A, b, x_0, \text{maxit}, \varepsilon, M \).
2: \( r_0 = b - Ax_0, \beta = \|r_0\| \) and \( v_1 = r_0 / \beta \)
3: **for** \( k = 1, \ldots, \text{maxit} \) **do**
4: \( z_k = M_{k}^{-1} v_k \)
5: Compress \( z_k \).
6: Retrieve the decompressed vector \( \tilde{z}_k \).
7: \( w = A\tilde{z}_k \)
8: **for** \( i = 1, \ldots, k \) **do**
9: \( H_{i,k} = v_i^T w \)
10: \( w = w - H_{i,k} v_i \)
11: \( H_{k+1,k} = \|w\| \)
12: \( v_{k+1} = w / H_{k+1,k} \)
13: \( y_k = \arg\min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^k} \|\beta e_1 - H_k y\| \)
14: \( \tilde{r}_k = \beta e_1 - H_k y \)
15: **if** \( \|\tilde{r}_k\| < \|b\| \varepsilon \) **or** \( k = \text{maxit} \) **then**
16: Retrieve the decompressed columns of \( \tilde{Z}_k = [\tilde{z}_1, \ldots, \tilde{z}_k] \).
cFGMRES algorithm II

17: \[ x_k = x_0 + \tilde{Z}_k y_k \]
18: \[ r_k = b - Ax_k \]
19: \[ \text{if } \| r_k \| < \| b \| \varepsilon \text{ then} \]
20: \[ \text{break} \]
21: \[ \text{output: } x \]
Analysis (framework)

- We write the decompressed values $\tilde{z}_k$ as a perturbed version of the original values $z_k$:
  \[ \tilde{z}_k = (I_n + F_k) z_k. \]  
  \[ (7) \]

- Here, $I_n, F_k \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are the identity matrix and a perturbation matrix, respectively. This means that
  \[ \frac{\|z_k - \tilde{z}_k\|}{\|z_k\|} \leq \zeta_k, \]  
  \[ (8) \]

  with $\zeta_k = \|F_k\|$ the maximum normwise relative compression error in iteration $k$.

- From a numerical point of view, the only assumption we will make on the compressor is that $\zeta_k$ can be controlled by the user.
Analysis (theorem and idea of the proof)

**Theorem 4.1**

Choose $0 < \varepsilon$ and $0 < c < 1$. Define $\varepsilon_c = c \varepsilon$ and $\varepsilon_g = (1 - c) \varepsilon$, and assume that in every cFGMRES iteration $k$ the right preconditioning system $z_k = M^{-1} v_k = A^{-1} v_k$ is solved with residual $p_k$ and that the maximum normwise relative compression error is given by $\eta_k > 0$. If for all $k$

$$\|p_k\| + \zeta_k \|A\| \|z_k\| \leq \frac{c}{n} \min \left(1, \frac{\|b\|}{\|\tilde{r}_{k-1}\|} \varepsilon_g \right)$$

(9)

then there exists an $0 < \ell \leq n$ such that $\|\tilde{r}_\ell\| \|b\| \varepsilon_c$ and $\|r_\ell\| \leq \varepsilon \|b\|$.

**Proof.**

We can interpret the compression as part of the preconditioning and write

$$\tilde{z}_k = (I + F_k) M^{-1} (v_k - p_k).$$
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Motivation

- Bound (6) from Theorem 3.1 and bound (9) from Theorem 4.1 are both based on results from the theory of inexact Krylov subspace methods, specifically Theorem 2.1.

- In the numerical studies performed in (Bouras & Frayssé, 2005; Simoncini & Szyld, 2003; Van Den Eshof & Sleijpen, 2004) it is, however, shown that this bound is often very restrictive and can be relaxed substantially in many applications.
Practical compression strategies

Strategies (quick overview)

Base strategy

Assuming FGMRES iterations without compression converge, we could ignore the preconditioning error.

Relaxed & double relaxed strategies

- Following (Bouras & Frayssé, 2005; Simoncini & Szyld, 2003; Van Den Eshof & Sleijpen, 2004), we allow larger perturbations in the matrix vector product.
- If the iterations converge, we also have that $\|\tilde{r}_{k-1}\|$ decreases to $\varepsilon_g$, so we can relax this bound a second time (double relaxed).

Equal strategy

Theorem 4.1 suggests that it is the total perturbation from both the preconditioner and the compression that should be bounded.

Cast 16 & 32 bit (mixed precision -like)
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Numerical set up of the compressor

SZ compressor (Di & Cappello, 2016; Liang, Di, Tao, Chen, & Cappello, 2018; Liang, Di, Tao, Li, et al., 2018; Tao et al., 2017)

- **prediction based compressor**: meaning that it will try to predict the value of a data point based on the decompressed values of the adjacent data points
- allows one to **control the error** between the original and decompressed data
- used to compress and decompress $z$

**normwise error (SZ interface: $\|z - \tilde{z}\| < \chi$)**

- Applied to **base, relaxed, double relaxed** and **equal** strategies with $\chi_k = \zeta_k \|Z_k\|$ 

**pointwise error (SZ interface: $\max_{i=1,...,n} \frac{|z[i] - \tilde{z}[i]|}{|z[i]|} < \chi$)**

- Applied to **cast32** and **cast64** mixed precision -like strategies
Total compression ratio $\rho$ of $Z$

Each bar per strategy corresponds to a different matrix according to its id.

$\rho = 2$ and $4$ represent the cast32 and cast16 respective (numerical) upper bounds.
Numerical experiments

Total memory ratio $\mu$

$\mu = 1.33$, $1.6$ and $2$ represent the cast32, cast16 and cFGMRES respective (numerical) upper bounds.
Conclusion
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Conclusion

In a nutshell
- FGMRES (this talk) (Agullo et al., 2020)
  - compression (the vectors) of the Z basis
  - achieving high(er) target accuracy (than accuracy of the storage)
  - use of (SZ) compressor
  - more in (Agullo et al., 2020).

Related work (not discussed today)
- GMRES (Agullo et al., 2022)
  - compression of (the vectors) of the (V) basis
  - using either a compressor (SZ) or tensor compression (TT) [more in Martina Iannacito’s thesis]
  - MGS (SZ and TT formats) Householder (only SZ case) variants
  - achieving low target precision (and reduce accuracy of the storage accordingly)

HPC design (not discussed today)
- code: https://gitlab.inria.fr/composyx/composyx
Perspectives

- HPC out-of-core design
- Combining mixed precision with variable accuracy storage
- Study other compressors (ZFP, …)
- GMRES
  - proving backward stability of GMRES with normwise arithmetic?
  - achieving high(er) precision (than accuracy of the storage)
    - Inexact GMRES framework
    - Related work (Gratton et al., 2022)
- Application of variable accuracy storage schemes to other algorithms
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## Matrices (1/2)

**label:matrices**

| name        | $n$       | $nnz$       | $||A||$     | iter | $\eta_b$     | iter |
|-------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|------|--------------|------|
| atmosmodd  | 1,270,432 | 8,814,880   | 1.92e+05    | 11   | 1.38e-11     | 11   |
| atmosmodj  | 1,270,432 | 8,814,880   | 1.92e+05    | 11   | 8.43e-11     | 11   |
| atmosmodl  | 1,489,752 | 10,319,760  | 6.20e+05    | 10   | 1.37e-11     | 10   |
| atmosmodm  | 1,489,752 | 10,319,760  | 6.39e+06    | 10   | 1.15e-11     | 10   |
| cage12      | 130,228   | 2,032,536   | 1.02e+00    | 8    | 3.46e-11     | 8    |
| cage13      | 445,315   | 7,479,343   | 1.02e+00    | 8    | 5.38e-11     | 8    |
| cage14      | 1,505,785 | 27,130,349  | 1.02e+00    | 8    | 5.28e-11     | 8    |
| cage15      | 5,154,859 | 99,199,551  | 1.02e+00    | 8    | 9.45e-11     | 8    |
| crashbasis  | 160,000   | 1,750,416   | 6.54e+02    | 10   | 3.15e-11     | 10   |
| dc1         | 116,835   | 766,396     | 5.70e+04    | 139  | 9.66e-11     | 11   |
| dc2         | 116,835   | 766,396     | 5.84e+04    | 89   | 8.80e-11     | 9    |
| dc3         | 116,835   | 766,396     | 6.25e+04    | 131  | 9.71e-11     | 31   |
| Goodwin_095 | 100,037   | 3,226,066   | 1.05e+00    | 245  | 9.72e-11     | 120  |
| Goodwin_127 | 178,437   | 5,778,545   | 1.05e+00    | 169  | 9.66e-11     | 159  |
| hcircuit    | 105,676   | 513,072     | 8.63e+01    | 215  | 9.58e-11     | 30   |
| name          | n     | nnz    | ||A||  | iter | ηb      | iter |
|--------------|-------|--------|-------|------|---------|------|
| language     | 399,130 | 1,216,334 | 2.91e+01 | 9   | 3.40e-11 |      |
| majorbasis   | 160,000 | 1,750,416 | 1.45e+02 | 10  | 4.67e-11 |      |
| memchip      | 2,707,524 | 13,343,948 | 5.00e+02 | 68  | 8.18e-11 |      |
| ML_Laplace   | 377,002 | 27,582,698 | 2.92e+07 | 53  | 8.50e-11 |      |
| rajat31      | 4,690,002 | 20,316,253 | 1.25e+04 | 26  | 5.26e-11 |      |
| ss           | 1,652,680 | 34,753,577 | 6.54e+00 | 10  | 5.62e-11 |      |
| ss1          | 205,282  | 845,089  | 2.17e+00 | 7   | 2.74e-11 |      |
| stomach      | 213,360  | 3,021,648 | 2.21e+00 | 10  | 4.00e-11 |      |
| torso2       | 115,967  | 1,033,473 | 8.06e+00 | 10  | 2.60e-11 |      |
| trans5       | 116,835  | 749,800  | 1.13e+04 | 417 | 9.56e-11 |      |
| Transport    | 1,602,111 | 23,487,281 | 1.00e+00 | 34  | 7.55e-11 |      |
| vas_stokes_1M| 1,090,664 | 34,767,207 | 8.85e+00 | 76  | 8.57e-11 |      |
| vas_stokes_2M| 2,146,677 | 65,129,037 | 8.19e+00 | 72  | 5.77e-11 |      |
| xenon2       | 157,464  | 3,866,688 | 5.29e+28 | 22  | 7.87e-11 |      |
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Base strategy

- As stated before, in practice it is observed that $\|p_k\|$ can be larger than what Theorem 4.1 would suggest. Assuming that the FGMRES iterations without compression converge, we could ignore the preconditioning error and only try to bound the compression error using (9), i.e.,

$$\zeta_k \leq \frac{c}{n \|A\| \|z_k\|} \min \left( 1, \frac{\|b\|}{\|\tilde{r}_{k-1}\| \varepsilon_g} \right)$$

- In our numerical experiment we will take $c = 0.9$. 
Relaxed & double relaxed strategies

- The bound used in Theorem 2.1 can be written as

\[ \|E_k\| \leq \lambda_k \frac{1}{\|\tilde{r}_{k-1}\|} \varepsilon_g \]

- Incite:bouras2005, simoncini2003, vandeneshof2004, it is shown that that setting \( \lambda_k = 1 \), thus allowing larger perturbations in the matrix vector product, does not negatively impact the convergence in many cases.

- We will therefore do the same with the base compression strategy and relax bound (9) to find

\[ \zeta_k \leq \frac{1}{\|A\| \|z_k\| \|\tilde{r}_{k-1}\|} \varepsilon_g. \]  

(10)

- If the iterations converge, we also have that \( \|\tilde{r}_{k-1}\| \) decreases to \( \varepsilon_g \), so we can relax this bound a second time by replacing \( \varepsilon_g / \|\tilde{r}_{k-1}\| \) with 1:

\[ \zeta_k \leq \frac{1}{\|A\| \|z_k\|}. \]  

(11)

- We will refer to strategy (10) and (11) as the relaxed and double relaxed strategies, respectively.
Equal strategy

- Assuming that the FGMRES iterations without compression converge, there is a series of preconditioning errors $\| \mathbf{p}_k \|$ which do not prevent the algorithm from converging. Instead of using the upper bound from (9), we could relax the base strategy by using $\| \mathbf{p}_k \|$ as an upper bound for the maximum normwise relative compression error in each iteration, i.e.,

$$\zeta_k \| \mathbf{z}_k \| \| \mathbf{A} \| \leq \| \mathbf{p}_k \| \iff \zeta_k \leq \frac{\| \mathbf{p}_k \|}{\| \mathbf{z}_k \| \| \mathbf{A} \|}.$$ 

- Another way to interpret this strategy is to note that Theorem 4.1 suggests that it is the total perturbation from both the preconditioner and the compression that should be bounded. If the compression error in each iteration is less than or equal to the preconditioning error, then

$$\| \mathbf{p}_k \| + \eta_k \| \mathbf{A} \| \| \mathbf{z}_k \| \leq 2 \| \mathbf{p}_k \|,$$

implying that the order of magnitude of the total perturbation has remained equal to that of the FGMRES iterations without compression – which we assumed converged.
Due to the large interest in mixed precision arithmetic we will also compare the previous compression strategies with a mixed precision inspired approach: storing the $z_k$ in either 16 bit or 32 bit precision.

We will, however, perform all calculations in 64 bit, and the decompression step will therefore consist of casting the vector back to 64 bit.

Additionally, in order to limit over- and underflow errors when casting – especially to 16 bit – we will normalize $z_k$ before casting it and store the norm of the original data as well. After the vector is cast back to 64 bit we multiply it with its original norm in order to retrieve the decompressed vector $\tilde{z}_k$. 
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Individual compression ratio (preliminary note)

Individual compression ratio $\rho_k$ in iteration $k$

Ratio of saved storage for the $z_k$ stored as $\bar{z}_k$ as

$$\rho_k = \frac{\text{mem}(z_k)}{\text{mem}(\bar{z}_k)} = \frac{\text{mem}(z)}{\text{mem}(\bar{z}_k)}.$$ where:

- $\bar{z}$: compressed data object
- $\text{mem}(\cdot)$: memory used by an object.

Remarks

- Since $\text{mem}(z_k)$ is equal for all $k$, we will simply write $\text{mem}(z)$.
- Note that the memory used by $\bar{z}_k$ can vary because the compression ratio depends on $z_k$ itself and on the bound for the pointwise relative error – which will vary in each iteration.
Compression ratio (metric 1)

Compression ratio $\rho$ of $Z$

If FGMRES needs $\ell_{\text{ref}}$ iterations to converge and cFGMRES $\ell$ iterations then we define the total compression ratio $\rho$ associated with $Z_{\ell} = [z_1, \ldots, z_{\ell}]$ as

$$\rho = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\ell_{\text{ref}}} \text{mem}(z_k)}{\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \text{mem}(\tilde{z}_k)} = \frac{\ell_{\text{ref}} \cdot \text{mem}(z)}{\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{\text{mem}(z)}{\rho_k}} = \frac{\ell_{\text{ref}}}{\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{\rho_k}}. \quad (12)$$

Remarks

- The total compression ratio gives us an easy way to assess the overall efficiency of the compression, taking into account the difference in the number of iterations.
- We might, for example, have a high compression ratio in each iteration, but if we need many extra iterations to converge, we may eventually have $\rho < 1$. 
Memory ratio (metric 2)

Memory ratio $\mu$

In order to estimate how much memory we gain with respect to FGMRES we also define the total memory ratio $\mu$ that takes into account the storage required for both the $v_k$ and the $z_k$:

$$\mu = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\ell_{\text{ref}}} \text{mem}(v_k) + \text{mem}(z_k)}{\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \text{mem}(v_k) + \text{mem}(\tilde{z}_k)} = \frac{\ell_{\text{ref}} \cdot (\text{mem}(v) + \text{mem}(z))}{\ell \cdot \text{mem}(v) + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \text{mem}(\tilde{z}_k)}$$

$$= \frac{2\ell_{\text{ref}} \cdot \text{mem}(z)}{\ell \cdot \text{mem}(z) + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{\text{mem}(z)}{\rho_k}}$$

$$= \frac{2\ell_{\text{ref}}}{\ell + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{1}{\rho_k}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

Remark

- Here we use the fact that $\text{mem}(v_k) = \text{mem}(v) = \text{mem}(z)$ for all $k$. 
Extra remarks

- Obviously, higher individual compression ratios $\rho_k$ in each iteration $k$ will lead to a higher total compression ratio $\rho$ and total memory ratio $\mu$. The latter (the memory ratio $\mu$) will, however, penalize extra iterations a lot more than the former since it takes into account the fact that the extra $v_k$ need to be stored as well – without compression. Note that we can write

$$
\mu(\rho) = \frac{2\ell_{\text{ref}}\rho}{\rho\ell + \ell_{\text{ref}}} \Rightarrow \lim_{\rho \to +\infty} \mu(\rho) = 2\frac{\ell_{\text{ref}}}{\ell}.
$$

- While it is possible that $\ell \leq \ell_{\text{ref}}$, we observed in our numerical experiments that the opposite is usually true. This implies that the total memory ratio is bounded by 2, which is not surprising, since even with very high compression rates cFGMRES still needs to store the $v_k$.

- When the compression is done by casting the $z_k$ to 16 bit and $\ell = \ell_{\text{ref}}$, then $\rho = 4$ and $\mu = 1.6$.

- Similarly, for casting to 32 bit we find $\rho = 2$ and $\mu = 4/3 = 1.33$. 
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